Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/822,358	SHAJII ET AL
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Rudy Zervigon	1792
All Participants:	Status of Application	n: Examiner's Amendment
(1) Rudy Zervigon.	(3)	
(2) G. Matthew McCloskey.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 18 September 2009	Time: <u>14:00</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Appli	cant's representative)	
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: All pending		
Claims discussed: 1,2,21,23		
Prior art documents discussed: USPat. 5865205, US 7335396 B2		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GEN Mr. McCloskey agreed to the Examiner's amendment.	ERAL NATURE OF WHA	T WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate		

of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Rudy Zervigon/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1792

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature - if appropriate)